arXiv:1610.05887v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 19 Oct 2016

Zero temperature limit for (1+1) directed polymers with correlated random potential

Victor Dotsenko
Université Paris VI, 75252 Paris, France and
Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, Moscow, Russia
(Dated: October 20, 2016)

Zero temperature limit in (1+1) directed polymers with finite range correlated random potential is studied. In terms of the standard replica technique it is demonstrated that in this limit the considered system reveals the one-step replica symmetry breaking structure similar to the one which takes place in the Random Energy Model. In particular, it is shown that at the temperature $T_* \sim (uR)^{1/3}$ (where u and R are the strength and the correlation length of the random potential) there is a *crossover* from the high- to the low-temperature regime. Namely, in the high-temperature regime at $T \gg T_*$ the model is equivalent to the one with the δ-correlated potential (in which the non-universal prefactor of the free energy is proportional to $T^{-2/3}$), while at $T \ll T_*$ the non-universal prefactor of the free energy saturates at a finite (temperature independent) value.

In the memory of Sergei Korshunov

I. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study the statistical properties of one-dimensional directed polymers in correlated random potential in the zero-temperature limit. This system is defined in terms of the so called $(1+1)$ Hamiltonian

$$H[\phi(\tau), V] = \int_0^t d\tau \Big\{ \frac{1}{2} \big[\partial_\tau \phi(\tau)\big]^2 + V[\phi(\tau), \tau] \Big\}; \tag{1}$$

where $\phi(\tau)$ is a scalar field defined within an interval $0 \le \tau \le t$ and $V(\phi, \tau)$ is the Gaussian distributed random potential with a zero mean, $\overline{V(\phi,\tau)} = 0$, and the correlation function

$$\overline{V(\phi,\tau)V(\phi',\tau')} = u\delta(\tau-\tau')U(\phi-\phi') \tag{2}$$

Here the parameter u is the strength of the disorder and $U(\phi)$ is the "spatial" correlation function characterized by the correlation length R. For simplicity we take

$$U(\phi) \;=\; \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\, R} \; \exp\Big\{ -\frac{\phi^2}{2R^2} \Big\} \tag{3}$$

This problem, is equivalent to the one of the KPZ-equation [1] describing the time evolution of an interface in the presence of noise. The case of the δ-correlated random potential (it is recovered in the limit $R \to 0$ in eq.(3)) has been the focus of intense studies during past three decades [2–22]. At present it is well established that in this case the fluctuations of the free energy of the model, eqs.(1)-(3), (with $R \to 0$) are described by the Tracy-Widom (TW) distribution [23]. It can be argued (see Section II) that the model, eqs.(1)-(3), with *finite* correlation length R in the high temperature limit is equivalent to the one with the δ-correlated random potentials. Note that this provides the basis for the short-length regularization of the model with the δ-potentials which, in fact, is ill defined at short scales.

The zero-temperature limit for this model is much less clear. The problem is that in the exact solution of the model with δ-correlated potentials (see e.g. [14–17]) the fluctuating part of the free energy $F(T,t)$ (in the limit $t \to \infty$) is proportional to $(u/T)^{2/3}\, t^{1/3}\, f$ (where T is the temperature and the random quantity $f \sim 1$ is described by the TW distribution) and this free energy does not reveal any finite zero-temperature limit. The physical origin of this pathology is clear: the exact solution mentioned above is valid *only* for the model with δ-correlated potentials which is ill defined at short scales while it is the short scales which are getting the most relevant in the limit $T \to 0$. One can propose two types of the regularization of the model on short scales: (1) introducing a lattice, and (2) keeping continuous structure of the "space-time" but introducing smooth finite size correlations for the random potential like in eq.(3). In both cases, however, the solution derived for the model with δ-correlated potentials becomes not valid. Nevertheless, it is generally believed that in the zero-temperature limit the considered system must reveal the same TW distribution. On one hand there are exact results for strictly zero temperature lattice models revealing main features of (1+1) directed polymers (see e.g rigorous solution of the directed polymer lattice model with geometric disorder [8]). On the other hand there are analytic indications that in the zero-temperature limit the continuous

model with finite correlation length of the type introduced above, eqs.(1)-(3), after crossover to a new regime keeps the main features of the finite-temperature solution [24, 25].

This paper (following an old idea first formulated in the paper [24]) is an attempt to formulate a general scheme which would allow to obtain a finite zero-temperature limit for the continuous model (1)-(3). In terms of the standard replica technique it is demonstrated that in the limit $T \to 0$ the considered system reveals the one-step replica symmetry breaking structure similar to the one which takes place in the low-temperature phase of the Random Energy Model (REM) [30]. Of course, the considered system (unlike REM) reveal no phase transition: here at the temperature $T_* \sim (uR)^{1/3}$ we observe only a *crossover* from the high- to the low-temperature regime. Namely, at $T \gg T_*$ the fluctuating part of the free energy is the same as in the model with the δ-interactions, $F(T,t) \simeq (u/T)^{2/3}\, t^{1/3}\, f$, while at $T \ll T_*$ the non-universal prefactor of the free energy saturates at finite value, $F(T=0,t) \simeq (u^2/R)^{1/9}\, t^{1/3}\, f$. The probability distribution function of the random quantity f is, of course, expected to be the TW one, although at present stage this is not proved yet.

II. REPLICA FORMALISM

For a given realization of the random potential $V[\phi,\tau]$ the partition function of the considered system (with fixed boundary conditions) is

$$Z(t) = \int_{\phi(0)=0}^{\phi(t)=0} \mathcal{D}\phi(\tau) \exp\bigl\{-\beta H[\phi(\tau), V]\bigr\} \;=\; \exp\bigl\{-\beta F(t)\bigr\} \tag{4}$$

where β is the inverse temperature, $F(t)$ is the free energy which is a random quantity and the integration is taken over all trajectories $\phi(\tau)$ with the zero boundary conditions at $\tau = 0$ and $\tau = t$. The free energy probability distribution function $P(F)$ can be studied in terms of the integer moments of the above partition function:

$$\overline{Z^N} \equiv Z(N,t) \;=\; \prod_{a=1}^{N} \int_{\phi_a(0)=0}^{\phi_a(t)=0} \mathcal{D}\phi_a(\tau) \; \overline{\left(\exp\Bigl\{-\beta \sum_{a=1}^{N} H[\phi_a(\tau), V]\Bigr\} \right)} \;=\; \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dF\, P(F)\, \exp\bigl\{-\beta N F\bigr\} \tag{5}$$

where $\overline{(...)}$ denotes the averaging over the random potentials $V[\phi,\tau]$. The quantity $Z(N,t)$ is called the replica partition function. After performing simple Gaussian averaging and using eq.(2) we get

$$Z(N,t) \;=\; \prod_{a=1}^{N} \int_{\phi_a(0)=0}^{\phi_a(t)=0} \mathcal{D}\phi_a(\tau)\; \exp\Bigl\{-\beta H_N[\boldsymbol{\phi}(\tau)]\Bigr\} \tag{6}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\phi}(\tau) \equiv \bigl(\phi_1(\tau),\, \phi_2(\tau),\, ...\,, \phi_N(\tau)\bigr)$ and

$$\beta H_N[\boldsymbol{\phi}] = \int_0^t d\tau \left[\frac{1}{2}\beta \sum_{a=1}^{N} \Bigl(\partial_\tau \phi_a(\tau)\Bigr)^2 \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\beta^2 u \sum_{a,b=1}^{N} U\bigl(\phi_a(\tau) - \phi_b(\tau)\bigr) \right]; \tag{7}$$

is the replica Hamiltonian which describes N elastic strings $\bigl\{\phi_1(\tau),\, \phi_2(\tau),\, ...\,, \phi_N(\tau)\bigr\}$ with the finite width attractive interactions $U\bigl(\phi_a - \phi_b\bigr)$, eq.(3).

To compute the replica partition function $Z(N,t)$, eq.(6), one introduces more complicated object:

$$\Psi(x_1,\, x_2,\, ...\, x_N;\; t) \;=\; \prod_{a=1}^{N} \int_{\phi_a(0)=0}^{\phi_a(t)=x_a} \mathcal{D}\phi_a(\tau)\; \exp\Bigl\{-\beta H_N[\boldsymbol{\phi}(\tau)]\Bigr\} \tag{8}$$

such that $Z(N,t) \;=\; \Psi(x_1, x_2, ...x_N;\, t)\big|_{x_a=0}$. One can easily show that $\Psi(\mathbf{x};\, t)$ is the wave function of N quantum bosons defined by the imaginary time Schrödinger equation

$$\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi(\mathbf{x};\, t) \;=\; \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{N} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_a^2} \Psi(\mathbf{x};\, t) \;+\; \frac{1}{2}\, \beta^3 u \sum_{a,b=1}^{N} U(x_a - x_b)\, \Psi(\mathbf{x};\, t) \tag{9}$$

with the initial condition

$$\Psi(\mathbf{x};\, 0) \;=\; \prod_{a=1}^{N} \delta(x_a) \tag{10}$$

In the high temperature limit ($\beta \to 0$) the typical distance between the particles (defined by the wave function $\Psi(\mathbf{x};\, t)$) is much larger that the size R of the potential $U(x)$, eq.(3) (see below). In this case the potential $U(x)$ can be approximated by the δ-function, so that a generic solution of the Schrödinger equation (9) (with $U(x) = \delta(x)$) is obtained in terms of the Bethe ansatz eigenfunctions [26–28]. A generic eigenstate of the system described by eq.(9) (with $U(x) = \delta(x)$) is defined by N momenta $\{Q_a\}$ $(a = 1, ...N)$ which splits into M "clusters" $(1 \le M \le N)$ each defined by continuous real momenta q_α $(\alpha = 1, ..., M)$ and n_α discrete imaginary components (for details see e.g. [16, 29]):

$$Q_a \;\to\; q_\alpha^r \;=\; q_\alpha \;-\; \frac{i}{2}\kappa\big(n_\alpha + 1 - 2r\big)\,; \quad r = 1, ..., n_\alpha\,; \quad \alpha = 1, ..., M \tag{11}$$

(where $\kappa = \beta^3 u$) with the global constraint $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} n_\alpha = N$. Explicitly, the corresponding eigenfunction $\Psi_Q(\mathbf{x})$ reads

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{x}) \;=\; \sum_{\mathcal{P}\in S_N}\prod_{a<b}^{N}\left[1 + i\kappa\,\frac{\mathrm{sgn}(x_a - x_b)}{Q_{\mathcal{P}_a} - Q_{\mathcal{P}_b}}\right]\,\exp\left\{i\sum_{a=1}^{N} Q_{\mathcal{P}_a}\,x_a\right\} \tag{12}$$

where the vector $\mathbf{Q}$ denotes the set of all N momenta eq.(11) and the summation goes over $N!$ permutations $\mathcal{P}$ of N momenta Q_a, over N particles x_a. In particular, for the ground state wave function ($M = 1;\; Q_a = q - \frac{i}{2}\kappa(N+1-2a)$) one gets:

$$\Psi_q(\mathbf{x}) \;\propto\; \exp\Big\{-\frac{1}{4}\,\kappa\,\sum_{a,b=1}^{N}|x_a - x_b| \;+\; iq\sum_{a=1}^{N} x_a\Big\} \tag{13}$$

For the excited states (with $M > 1$) the generic wave function can be represented as a linear combination of various products of the "cluster" wave functions which have the structure similar to the one in eq.(13) [16]. We see that in any case the typical distance between the particles is of the order of $\kappa^{-1} = (\beta^3 u)^{-1}$. Thus, the approximation of the potential $U(x)$, eq.(3), by the δ-function is justified provided $(\beta^3 u)^{-1} \gg R$ which for a given R and u is valid only in the high temperature region,

$$\beta \;\ll\; (Ru)^{-1/3} \tag{14}$$

At temperatures of the order of the $(Ru)^{1/3}$ and below the typical distance between particles becomes comparable with the size R of the potential $U(x)$, and therefore its approximation by the δ-function is no longer valid, which makes the considered model unsolvable (at least for the time being). It turns out, however, that in the zero temperature limit, at $T \ll (Ru)^{1/3}$ the situation somewhat simplifies again (see next section).

III. ZERO TEMPERATURE LIMIT

One can easily show that the parameters of the considered system can be redefined in such a way that in the limit $t \to \infty$ the properties of the system would depend on the only parameter, which is the reduced temperature $\tilde{T} = T/T_*$ where

$$T_* \;=\; \Big(\frac{uR}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\Big)^{1/3} \tag{15}$$

Indeed, redefining

$$\begin{aligned} \phi \;&\to\; R\,\phi \\ \beta \;&=\; T_*^{-1}\,\tilde{\beta} \\ \tau \;&\to\; \tau_*\,\tau \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

with

$$\tau_* \;=\; \Big(\sqrt{2\pi}\,R^5 u^{-1}\Big)^{1/3} \tag{17}$$

instead of the replica Hamiltonian, eq.(7), one gets

$$\beta\tilde{H}_N[\boldsymbol{\phi}] = \int_0^{t/\tau_*} d\tau\left[\frac{1}{2}\,\tilde{\beta}\sum_{a=1}^{N}\Big(\partial_\tau\phi_a(\tau)\Big)^2 \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\,\tilde{\beta}^2\sum_{a,b=1}^{N} U_0\big(\phi_a(\tau) - \phi_b(\tau)\big)\right]; \tag{18}$$

where

$$U_0(\phi) \;=\; \exp\Big\{-\frac{1}{2}\,\phi^2\Big\} \tag{19}$$

Accordingly, the wave function $\tilde{\Psi}(\mathbf{x};t)$ defined by eq.(8) with $\beta H_N[\boldsymbol{\phi}]$ replaced by $\beta\tilde{H}_N[\boldsymbol{\phi}]$ is given by the solution of the Schrödinger equation

$$2\tilde{\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{t}}\tilde{\Psi}(\mathbf{x};\,\tilde{t}) \;=\; \sum_{a=1}^{N}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_a^2}\tilde{\Psi}(\mathbf{x};\,\tilde{t}) \;+\; \tilde{\beta}^3\sum_{a,b=1}^{N}U_0(x_a-x_b)\,\tilde{\Psi}(\mathbf{x};\,\tilde{t}) \tag{20}$$

where $\tilde{t}=t/\tau_*$. The corresponding eigenvalue equation for the eigenfunctions $\psi(\mathbf{x})$, defined by the relation $\tilde{\Psi}(\mathbf{x};\,\tilde{t}) = \psi(\mathbf{x})\exp\{-\tilde{t}E\}$, reads:

$$-\,2E\,\tilde{\beta}\,\psi(\mathbf{x}) \;=\; \sum_{a=1}^{N}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_a^2}\psi(\mathbf{x}) \;+\; \tilde{\beta}^3\sum_{a,b=1}^{N}U_0(x_a-x_b)\,\psi(\mathbf{x}) \tag{21}$$

As in what follows we will be interested only in the limit $t\to\infty$ the rescaling of the time t by the factor τ_* does not change anything. In other words, in the limit $t\to\infty$ our problem (defined by the replica Hamiltonian (18) or the Schrödinger equation (20)) is controlled by the only parameter $\tilde{\beta}$.

In the zero temperature limit ($\tilde{\beta}\to\infty$), according to the discussion at the end of the previous section, one may naively suggest that the typical distance between particles defined by the eigenfunctions $\psi(\mathbf{x})$, eq.(21), becomes small compared to size (~ 1) of the potential $U_0(x)$. In other words, all the particles are expected to be localized near the bottom of this potential so that one could approximate

$$U_0(\phi) \;\simeq\; 1 \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\,x^2 \tag{22}$$

For the corresponding eigenvalue equation

$$-\,2E\,\tilde{\beta}\,\psi(\mathbf{x}) \;=\; \sum_{a=1}^{N}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_a^2}\psi(\mathbf{x}) \;+\; \tilde{\beta}^3N^2\psi(\mathbf{x}) \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\,\tilde{\beta}^3\sum_{a,b=1}^{N}(x_a-x_b)^2\,\psi(\mathbf{x}) \tag{23}$$

one finds simple (exact) ground state solution

$$\psi_0(\mathbf{x}) \;=\; C\,\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{\frac{\tilde{\beta}^3}{N}}\sum_{a,b=1}^{N}(x_a-x_b)^2\right\} \tag{24}$$

where C is the normalization constant, and

$$E_0(\tilde{\beta},N) \;=\; -\frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{\beta}\,N\right)^2+\frac{1}{2}\,(N-1)\,\sqrt{\tilde{\beta}\,N} \tag{25}$$

Using the explicit form of the wave function (24) one can easily estimate the average distance Δx between arbitrary two particles in this N-particle system:

$$\Delta x \;\sim\; \sqrt{\frac{1}{N(N-1)}\sum_{a,b=1}^{N}\overline{(x_a-x_b)^2}} \;\sim\; \left(\tilde{\beta}^3N\right)^{-1/4} \tag{26}$$

In the limit $\tilde{\beta}\to\infty$ (at fixed N) $\Delta x\sim\tilde{\beta}^{-3/4}\to 0$. Therefore, in the zero temperature limit for any fixed value of N the wave function $\psi_0(\mathbf{x})$ is indeed nicely localized neat the "bottom" of the potential well $U_0(x)$ which justifies the approximation (22). On the other hand, one can easily see that both the wave function (24) and the ground state energy (25) demonstrate completely pathological behavior in the limit $N\to 0$ which is crucial for the reconstruction of the free energy distribution function in the limit $t\to\infty$. The typical size Δx (26) of the wave function (24) grows with decreasing N and become of the order of one at $N\sim\tilde{\beta}^{-3}$. Therefore at smaller values of N the ground

state wave function must have essentially different form. The simplest way to let the particles enjoy their mutual attraction while keeping their number in the well finite consists in splitting them into several well separated groups, such that each group would consist of finite number of particles This idea (similar to the one step replica symmetry breaking solution of the Random Energy Model [30]) has been proposed by Sergei Korshunov many years ago [24]. More specifically, let us split N particles into K groups each consisting of m particles, so that $K = N/m$. In this way, instead of the coordinates of the particles $\{x_a\}$ $(a = 1, ..., N)$ we introduce the coordinates of the center of masses of the groups $\{X_\alpha\}$ $(\alpha = 1, ..., K)$ and the deviations ξ_i^α $(i = 1, ..., m)$ of the particles of a given group α from the position of its center of mass:

$$x_a \;\to\; X_\alpha \;+\; \xi_\alpha^i\,, \quad \alpha = 1, ..., K\,, \quad i = 1, ..., m\,, \quad K = N/m \tag{27}$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^m \xi_\alpha^i \;=\; 0$. It is supposed that in the zero temperature limit ($\tilde\beta \to \infty$) the typical value of the particle's deviations inside the groups are small, $\langle \big(\xi_i^\alpha\big)^2 \rangle\big|_{\tilde\beta\to\infty} \;\to\; 0$, while the value of the typical distance between the groups remains finite.

In terms of the above ansatz the original replica partition function

$$Z(N,\tilde t) \;=\; \prod_{a=1}^{N} \int_{\phi_a(0)=0}^{\phi_a(\tilde t)=0} \mathcal{D}\phi_a(\tau)\; \exp\left\{ -\frac{1}{2}\int_0^{\tilde t} d\tau \left[\tilde\beta \sum_{a=1}^{N} \Big(\partial_\tau \phi_a\Big)^2 \;-\; \tilde\beta^2 \sum_{a,b=1}^{N} U_0\big(\phi_a - \phi_b\big) \right] \right\} \tag{28}$$

factorizes into two independent contributions: (a) the "internal" partition functions $Z_0\big(\tilde\beta, m, \tilde t\big)$ of tightly bound groups of m polymers for which one can use the approximation (22), and (b) the "external" partition function $\mathcal{Z}\big(\tilde\beta, N/m, m, \tilde t\big)$ of N/m "complex" polymers (each consisting of m tightly bound original polymers):

$$Z(N,\tilde t) \;\simeq\; \Big[Z_0\big(\tilde\beta, m, \tilde t\big) \Big]^{\frac{N}{m}} \times \mathcal{Z}\big(\tilde\beta, N/m, m, \tilde t\big) \tag{29}$$

In the limit $\tilde t \to \infty$ the "internal" partition functions $Z_0\big(\tilde\beta, m, \tilde t\big)$ is dominated by the ground state, eqs.(24)-(25):

$$Z_0\big(\tilde\beta, m, \tilde t\big) \;\simeq\; \exp\big\{ -E_0(\tilde\beta, m)\, \tilde t \big\} \times \psi_0(\boldsymbol{\xi})\big|_{\boldsymbol{\xi}=0} \;\propto\; \exp\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[\big(\tilde\beta m\big)^2 + (1-m)\sqrt{\tilde\beta m}\,\right] \tilde t \right\} \tag{30}$$

According to the definition (28), the "external" partition function $\mathcal{Z}\big(\tilde\beta, N/m, m, \tilde t\big)$ can be represented as follows:

$$\mathcal{Z}\big(\tilde\beta, N/m, m, \tilde t\big) \;=\; \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N/m} \int_{\phi_\alpha(0)=0}^{\phi_\alpha(\tilde t)=0} \mathcal{D}\phi_\alpha(\tau)\; \exp\left\{ -\frac{1}{2}\int_0^{\tilde t} d\tau \left[(\tilde\beta m) \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N/m} \Big(\partial_\tau \phi_\alpha\Big)^2 \;-\; (\tilde\beta m)^2 \sum_{\alpha\neq\beta}^{N/m} U_0\big(\phi_\alpha - \phi_\beta\big) \right] \right\} \tag{31}$$

Now, to make the present approach self-consistent one has to formulate the procedure which would define the value of the parameter m which for the moment remains arbitrary. In fact, the algorithm which would fix the value of this parameter can be borrowed from the standard procedure of replica symmetry breaking (RSB) scheme of spin glasses. In particular, it is successfully used in the one-step RSB solution of the Random Energy Model (REM) [30]. In simple words, this procedure is in the following. Originally the parameter m is introduced as an integer bounded by the condition $1 \le m \le N$ and such that the replica parameter N must be a multiple of m as the number of the groups of particles $K = N/m$ must be an integer. After analytic continuation of the replica parameters N and m to arbitrary real values in the limit $N \to 0$ the above restriction $1 \le m \le N$ (interpreted now as m is bounded *between* 1 and N) turns into $N \le m \le 1$. Then the physical value of the parameter m_* is fixed by the condition that the extensive part of the free energy of the considered system $F_0(m)$ (considered as a function of m at the interval $N \le m \le 1$) has the *maximum* at $m = m_*$. Of course, from the mathematical point of view this procedure is ill grounded (or better to say not grounded at all), but somehow in all know cases (where the solution can also be obtained in some other methods) it works perfectly well. In any case, for the moment we don't have any other method anyway.

In the present case the situation is even worse as the solution of the problem defined by the replica partition function (31) (which also gives a contribution to the extensive part of the free energy) is not known. Nevertheless, it would be natural to suggest that its generic structure is similar to the one with the δ-interactions (instead of $U_0(\phi)$). Namely, let us suppose (like in the problem with the δ-interactions) that the free energy of the system which is defined by the replica partition function (31) contains both the linear in time (self-averaging) part $f_0(\tilde\beta m)$ and the fluctuating part which scales with time as $t^{1/3}$. In this case, due to factorization, eq.(29), in the limit $\tilde t \to \infty$, the total free energy of the system F can be represented as the sum of two contributions:

$$F \;=\; F_0\, t \;+\; \mathcal{F}\, t^{1/3} \tag{32}$$

where the fluctuating part $\mathcal{F}$ is defined by the solution of the problem (31), while the linear part F_0 is given by the sum of the "internal" contribution $E_0(\tilde{\beta}, m)$, eq.(25), and the contribution $f_0(\tilde{\beta}m)$ coming from the "external" partition function (31). In the limit $t \to \infty$ the fluctuating contribution of the free energy $\sim t^{1/3}$ can be neglected compared with its linear in t part so that we gets

$$\begin{aligned} F_0(\tilde{\beta}, m) \;&=\; -\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{\beta N t}\, \ln\Big[Z_0^{N/m}\, \mathcal{Z}\Big] \\ &=\; -\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{T_*}{\tilde{\beta} N t}\, \Big[\frac{N}{m}\, E_0(\tilde{\beta}, m)\, \frac{t}{\tau_*} \;+\; \frac{N}{m}\, f_0(\tilde{\beta}m) \frac{t}{\tau_*}\Big] \\ &=\; \frac{T_*}{2\tau_*}\Big[-(\tilde{\beta}m) \;-\; (1-m)\,(\tilde{\beta}m)^{-1/2} \;+\; \frac{2}{(\tilde{\beta}m)} f_0(\tilde{\beta}m)\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

where the quantities T_*, τ_* and $E_0(\tilde{\beta}m)$ are defined in eqs.(15), (17) and (25). The parameter m is defined by the solution of the equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial m}\, F_0(\tilde{\beta}, m) \;=\; 0 \tag{34}$$

which corresponds to the *maximum* of the function $F_0(m)$ at the interval $0 \le m \le 1$ (as in the limit $t \to \infty$ the relevant values of the replica parameter N which define the statistics of the free energy fluctuations are of the order of $t^{-1/3} \to 0$). Let us introduce a new parameter

$$\zeta \;=\; \tilde{\beta}m \tag{35}$$

which is supposed to remain *finite* in the zero-temperature limit, $\tilde{\beta} \to \infty$. In terms of this parameter the expression for the self-averaging free energy F_0, eq.(33), reduces to

$$F_0(\zeta) \;\simeq\; \frac{T_*}{2\tau_*}\Big[-\zeta \;-\; \zeta^{-1/2} \;+\; \frac{2}{\zeta}\, f_0(\zeta)\Big] \tag{36}$$

where for a finite value of ζ the factor $(1-m)$ in second term in eq.(33) in the limit $\tilde{\beta} \to \infty$ can be approximated as $(1-m) \;=\; \big(1 \,-\, \zeta/\tilde{\beta}\big) \;\simeq\; 1$. Then, according to eq.(36) in the zero-temperature limit the saddle-point equation (34) reads

$$-\,1 \;+\; \frac{1}{2}\,\zeta^{-3/2} \;+\; \frac{d}{d\zeta}\,\Big[\frac{2}{\zeta} f_0(\zeta)\Big] \;=\; 0 \tag{37}$$

which contains no parameters and correspondingly its solution defines a *finite* value of ζ_* (which is just a number). As a matter of illustration, if we approximate the solution of the "external problem", eq.(31), by the well known result for the model with the δ-interactions, namely $f_0(\tilde{\beta}m) \;=\; \frac{1}{24}\big(\tilde{\beta}m\big)^5$ (see e.g. [16]), the above equation reduces to

$$-\,1 \;+\; \frac{1}{2}\,\zeta^{-3/2} \;+\; \frac{1}{3}\zeta^3 \;=\; 0 \tag{38}$$

The solution of this equation is $\zeta_* \;\simeq\; 0.68$.

Thus, in the zero temperature limit the partition function of the "external problem", eq.(31), as the function of a new replica parameter $N/m \equiv K$ becomes temperature independent:

$$\mathcal{Z}\big(\zeta_*; K, \tilde{t}\big) \;=\; \prod_{\alpha=1}^{K} \int_{\phi_\alpha(0)=0}^{\phi_\alpha(\tilde{t})=0} \mathcal{D}\phi_\alpha(\tau)\; \exp\Bigg\{-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^{\tilde{t}} d\tau \Bigg[\zeta_* \sum_{\alpha=1}^{K}\Big(\partial_\tau \phi_\alpha\Big)^2 \;-\; \zeta_*^2 \sum_{\alpha\neq\beta}^{K} U_0\big(\phi_\alpha - \phi_\beta\big)\Bigg]\Bigg\} \tag{39}$$

Let us extract from this partition function the explicit contribution containing the linear in time free energy $f_0(\zeta_*)\tilde{t}$ and redefine

$$\mathcal{Z}\big(\zeta_*; K, \tilde{t}\big) \;=\; \exp\big\{-K\, f_0(\zeta_*)\, \tilde{t}\big\} \;\times\; \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}\big(\zeta_*; K\tilde{t}^{1/3}\big) \tag{40}$$

Here by analogy with the solution of the corresponding problem with the δ-interactions in the limit $\tilde{t} \to \infty$ the function $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}\big(\zeta_*; K\tilde{t}^{1/3}\big)$ is expected to depend on the replica parameter K and the time $\tilde{t}$ in the combination $K\tilde{t}^{1/3}$.

It is this function which defines the probability distribution function $P\big(\mathcal{F}\big)$ of the fluctuating part of the free energy, eq.(32). According to the relations, eq.(5), (29) and (40), and the definition (32) the probability distribution function $P\big(\mathcal{F}\big)$ and the partition function $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}\big(K\tilde{t}^{1/3}\big)$ are related via the Laplace transform:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\mathcal{F}\, P\big(\mathcal{F}\big)\, \exp\big\{-K\tilde{t}^{1/3}\,\mathcal{F}\big\} \;=\; \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}\big(\zeta_*; K\tilde{t}^{1/3}\big) \tag{41}$$

which, at least formally, allows to reconstruct the probability distribution function $P\big(\mathcal{F}\big)$ via the inverse Laplace transform

$$P\big(\mathcal{F}\big) \;=\; \int_{-i\infty}^{+i\infty} \frac{ds}{2\pi i}\, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}(\zeta_*; s)\, \exp\big\{s\,\mathcal{F}\big\} \tag{42}$$

IV. DISCUSSION

Summarizing all the above speculations, the systematic solution of the considered problem in the zero-temperature limit consists of three steps.

First. For a given integer K and for a given real positive ζ one has to compute the partition function $\mathcal{Z}(\zeta; K, t)$, eq.(39), where $U_0\big(\phi\big) \;=\; \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\phi^2\}$. This partition function in the limit $t \to \infty$ (similar to the case of the δ-interactions) is expected to factorize into two essentially different contributions: (a) the one which explicitly reveal the linear in time non-random (self-averaging) free energy part $f_0(\zeta)$; and (b) a function $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}\big(\zeta; Kt^{1/3}\big)$ which depends on the replica parameter K and time t in the combination $Kt^{1/3}$, eq.(40).

Second. The physical value of the parameter ζ_* is defined by the solution of the equation (37). This solution has to be substituted into the function $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}\big(\zeta_*; Kt^{1/3}\big)$.

Third. The probability distribution function $P\big(\mathcal{F}\big)$ of the free energy fluctuating part $\mathcal{F}$ is defined by the Laplace transform relation, eq.(41). Here the function $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}\big(\zeta_*; Kt^{1/3}\big)$ has to be analytically continued for arbitrary complex values of the replica parameter K. Then, introducing the Laplace transform parameter $s = Kt^{1/3}$ the probability distribution function $P\big(\mathcal{F}\big)$ is obtained by the inverse Laplace transform, eq.(42).

As in the considered zero-temperature limit the partition function (39) does not depend on the temperature, the free energy probability distribution function $P\big(\mathcal{F}\big)$ must be temperature independent too. The only "little" problem in the above derivation scheme is that for the moment the solution for the partition function $\mathcal{Z}(\zeta; K, t)$, eq.(39) (the First step) is not known. Nevertheless even at present (somewhat speculative) stage we can claim that in the limit $T \to 0$ the considered system reveals the one-step replica symmetry breaking structure, eqs.(27) and (29), similar to the one which takes place in the Random Energy Model. Besides, at the temperature $T_* \sim (uR)^{1/3}$ we observe a crossover from the high- to the low-temperature regime. Namely, at high temperatures, $T \gg T_*$, the model is equivalent to the one with the δ-correlated potential in which the non-universal prefactor of the fluctuating part of the free energy is proportional to $(u/T)^{2/3}$, while at $T \ll T_*$ this non-universal prefactor saturates at a finite (temperature independent) value $\sim (u^2/R)^{1/9}$. The formal proof that the zero temperature limit free energy distribution function of the considered system is indeed the Tracy-Widom one requires further investigation.

Acknowledgments

The main idea of the present research, namely the splitting replicas into the one-step replica symmetry breaking structure in the zero-temperature limit, belong to Sergei Korshunov.

I am grateful to Vivien Lecomte, Elisabeth Agoritsas and Herbert Spohn for numerous illuminating discussions. An essential part of this work was done during the workshop "New approaches to non-equilibrium and random systems: KPZ integrability, universality, applications and experiments" (Jan 11 - Mar 11, 2016) at Kavli Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara. This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. NSF PHY11-25915.

[1] Kardar M, Parisi G, Zhang Y-C (1986) Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 889
[2] Huse D A , Henley C L and Fisher D S (1985) Phys. Rev. Lett. **55**, 2924

[3] Huse D A and Henley C L (1985) Phys. Rev. Lett. **54**, 2708
[4] Kardar M and Zhang Y-C (1987) Phys. Rev. Lett. **58**, 2087
[5] Kardar M (1987) Nucl. Phys. **B 290**, 582
[6] Bouchaud J P and Orland H (1990) J. Stat. Phys. **61**, 877
[7] Halpin-Healy T and Zhang Y-C (1995) Phys. Rep. **254**, 215
[8] Johansson K (2000) Comm. Math. Phys. **209**, 437
[9] Prahofer M and Spohn H (2002) J. Stat. Phys. **108**, 1071
[10] Ferrari P L and Spohn H (2006) Comm. Math. Phys. **265**, 1
[11] Sasamoto T and Spohn H (2010) Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 230602
[12] Sasamoto T and Spohn H (2010) Nucl. Phys. **B834**, 523
[13] Sasamoto T and Spohn H (2010) J. Stat. Phys. **140**, 209
[14] Amir G , Corwin I and Quastel J (2011) Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **64**, 466
[15] Dotsenko V (2010) EPL, **90**, 20003
[16] Dotsenko V (2010) J.Stat.Mech. P07010
[17] Calabrese P, Le Doussal P and Rosso A (2010) EPL, **90**, 20002
[18] Calabrese P and Le Doussal P (2011) Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 250603
[19] Dotsenko V (2012) J. Stat. Mech. P11014
[20] Gueudré T and Le Doussal P (2012) EPL, **100**, 26006
[21] Corwin I (2012) Random Matrices: Theory Appl. **1**, 1130001
[22] Borodin A, Corwin I and Ferrari P (2012) arXiv:1204.1024
[23] Tracy C A and Widom H (1994) Commun.Math Phys. **159**, 151
[24] S.E.Korshunov and Vik.S.Dotsenko (1998) J.Phys.A:Math.Gen. **31**, 2591
[25] E.Agoritsas, S.Bustingorry, V.Lecomte, G.Schehr and T.Giamarchi (2012) Phys. Rev. **E 86**, 031144
E.Agoritsas, V.Lecomte and T.Giamarchi (2013) Phys. Rev. **E 87**, 042406
E.Agoritsas, V.Lecomte and T.Giamarchi (2013) Phys. Rev. **E 87**, 062405
[26] Lieb E H and Liniger W (1963) Phys. Rev. **130**, 1605
[27] McGuire J B (1964) J. Math. Phys. **5**, 622
[28] Yang C N (1968) Phys. Rev. **168**, 1920
[29] Dotsenko V (2011) *Universal Randomness*, Physics-Uspekhi, **54**(3), 259
[30] B.Derrida (1981) Phys. Rev. **B 24** 2613